

# United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
08/18/2003	Clifton Lind	988.1041	3668
7590 03/28/2006		EXAMINER	
THE CULBERTSON GROUP, P.C.		NGUYEN, BINH AN DUC	
REEK BLVD.			
		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
78746		3713	
	08/18/2003 7590 03/28/2006 ERTSON GROUP, P.C. REEK BLVD.	08/18/2003 Clifton Lind 7590 03/28/2006 ERTSON GROUP, P.C. REEK BLVD.	08/18/2003         Clifton Lind         988.1041           7590         03/28/2006         EXAM           ERTSON GROUP, P.C.         NGUYEN, BE           PREEK BLVD.         ART UNIT

DATE MAILED: 03/28/2006

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application No.	Applicant/ol
	Application No.	Applicant(s)
Office Assistant Commence	10/643,189	LIND ET AL.
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit
	Binh-An D. Nguyen	3713
The MAILING DATE of this communication app Period for Reply	ears on the cover sheet with the c	orrespondence address
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.  - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period w - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	36(a). In no event, however, may a reply be time within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) days will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from cause the application to become ABANDONE	nety filed s will be considered timely. the mailing date of this communication. D (35 U.S.C. § 133).
Status		
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 05 Ja	nuary 2006.	
2a) This action is <b>FINA</b> L. 2b) ⊠ This	action is non-final.	
3) Since this application is in condition for allowar closed in accordance with the practice under E		
Disposition of Claims		
4) ☐ Claim(s) 25-42 is/are pending in the application 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdray 5) ☐ Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) ☐ Claim(s) 25-42 is/are rejected. 7) ☐ Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) ☐ Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or	vn from consideration.	
Application Papers		
9) The specification is objected to by the Examine	r.	•
10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) acce	epted or b) objected to by the E	Examiner.
Applicant may not request that any objection to the	drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See	e 37 CFR 1.85(a).
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correct 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Ex	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119		·
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign a) All b) Some * c) None of:  1. Certified copies of the priority documents 2. Certified copies of the priority documents 3. Copies of the certified copies of the prior application from the International Bureau * See the attached detailed Office action for a list	s have been received. s have been received in Applications have been received in Applications in the contractions in the contraction in the	on Noed in this National Stage
Attach mont(c)		
Attachment(s)  1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)	4) Interview Summary	(PTO-413)
2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)	Paper No(s)/Mail Da	ate
3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date 1/5/06.	5) Notice of Informal P 6) Other:	atent Application (PTO-152)

Application/Control Number: 10/643,189

Art Unit: 3713

#### **DETAILED ACTION**

The Request for Continued Examination filed January 5, 2006 has been approved. The Amendment filed January 5, 2006 has been received. According to the Amendment, claims 1-24 have been canceled; and claims 25-42 have been amended. Currently, claims 25-42 are pending in the application. Acknowledgment has been made.

## Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

(e) the invention was described in (1) an application for patent, published under section 122(b), by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent or (2) a patent granted on an application for patent by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent, except that an international application filed under the treaty defined in section 351(a) shall have the effects for purposes of this subsection of an application filed in the United States only if the international application designated the United States and was published under Article 21(2) of such treaty in the English language.

Claims 32, 33, 39, 40, and 42 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(e) as being anticipated by Rothschild (2004/0166940).

Referring to claims 32, 39, and 42, Rothschild teaches a gaming system and method (having player detection steps thereto) comprising: a gaming machine (12 or 14) including a game presentation arrangement capable of producing a respective game presentation for any one of a number of different games, the gaming machine capable of being located in a hotel room (paragraph 22); a detecting arrangement for

Art Unit: 3713

detecting that a person has been assigned to the hotel room in which the gaming machine is located (utilizing hotel/casino database 40c)(paragraph 32); a player data collection arrangement for storing player preference information for the person assigned to the hotel room (accessing hotel using player tracking card, paragraphs 31-33); a system configuration arrangement for producing a system configuration command based on the player preference information for the person assigned to the hotel room (paragraphs 22, 24, 30); and a game modification controller in communication with the system configuration arrangement and with the gaming machine, the game modification controller for responding to the system configuration command by communicating presentation switching instructions to the gaming machine, the presentation switching instructions causing the gaming machine to produce a game presentation specified by the presentation switching instructions (setting player preference to gaming machine utilizing player game preference stored in the player tracking system, paragraph 31).

Referring to claims 33 and 40, wherein the detecting arrangement detects that the person has been assigned to the hotel room by receiving room check-in information, this limitation is inherent from Rothschild's teaching of utilizing casino/hotel database to detect player (paragraph 32).

### Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the

Application/Control Number: 10/643,189

**Art Unit: 3713** 

invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

Claims 25-31, 34-38, and 41, are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Rothschild (2004/0166940) in view of Sizer et al. (5,923,252).

Referring to claims 25, 31, 34, and 38, and 41, Rothschild teaches gaming system and method comprising: a gaming machine (12 or 14) including a game presentation arrangement, capable of producing a respective game presentation for any one of a number of different games; a player data collection arrangement for storing player preference information for the player (40f, 40c)(Fig.1); a system configuration arrangement for producing a system configuration command specifying a game presentation likely to be favored by the player based on the player preference information for the detected player (paragraph 31); and a game modification controller in communication with the system configuration arrangement and with the gaming machine, the game modification controller for receiving the system configuration command from the system configuration arrangement and for communicating presentation switching instructions to the gaming machine, the presentation switching instructions causing the gaming machine to switch from a first game presentation to the game presentation likely to be favored by the player (utilizing player reference, paragraph 31). Rothschild further teaches the limitation of the gaming machine (14) could be used in a hotel room (paragraph 22); and player detecting arrangement detects the player through a check-in procedure for the hotel room utilizing the casino/hotel data base (paragraph 32). Rothschild does not teach the limitations of player detecting arrangement separate from the gaming machine for detecting a player

as the player traverses a gaming facility and approaches an area of the gaming facility in which the gaming machine is located; and switching game presentation prior to an arrival of the player at the gaming machine (claims 25, 34, and 41). Sizer et al., however, teaches marketing device and system comprising shopper detecting arrangement separate from the product to be marketed for detecting a shopper as the he/she traverses a detection area and approaches an area of the product marketing facility in which the product is located (abstract, 6:4-46); and product advertising presentation or messages are ensure to be coordinated upon an arrival of the player along the aisle (7:33-8:49; 15:16-35; 16:14-32). It would have been obvious to a person of ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention was made to provide the wireless detection system of Sizer et al. to the gaming network of Rothschild to instantly detect game player in the area to provide gaming advertisement to the player faster and more effective that would attract more game players to play game, thus bring forth profits to the casino.

Referring to claims 26 and 30 and 35, Rothschild teaches a player detecting arrangement includes a player location determining arrangement for determining the location of the player in a gaming facility in which the gaming machine is located (utilizing player tracking card); and wherein the player detecting arrangement detects the player by reading information associated with a player card which is usable by the player in the gaming facility in which the gaming machine is located (reading player tracking card at the gaming machine; the new game presentation includes an attract display tailored for the respective player (player preference)(paragraphs 30-34).

Referring to claims 27-29, 36, and 37, Sizer et al. teaches the player carries an identifying device and wherein the player location determining arrangement includes a reading device for remotely reading identifying information from the identifying device; wherein the player location determining arrangement includes a receiver device for remotely receiving identifying information transmitted from the identifying device; and wherein the player carries a transponder transmitting a player identifying signal, and wherein the player location determining arrangement includes a receiving arrangement for determining the location of the player based upon the player identifying signal; detecting the player includes receiving a transponder signal transmitted from a responder carried by the player (10:6-11:64, 16:14-32).

### Response to Arguments

Applicant's arguments with respect to claims 25-42 have been considered but are moot in view of the new ground(s) of rejection.

#### Conclusion

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Binh-An D. Nguyen whose telephone number is 571-272-4440. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday-Friday.

Art Unit: 3713

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Xuan Thai can be reached on 571-272-7147. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

BN

XUAN M.THAI SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER